

Monads for Interactive Łsaiýixy Software

Lady Prophetess Catherine Ńdańy,
Lady Maintainer of Wińpixy

Fri., 42 Spring (29 TagÍy), ĐY 3332
88 Q3, Regnal Year 18

357 CkasÍaby Hall,
214 N Robinson Radial, Đisy (ĐutÍy),
Az-baiýy, ZB-13111-0101214357

To Lord Roger Jickby,
Lord Chairman,
Jickby Protection Agency
Ste. 100, Đisy Palace,
100 S Robinson Radial, Đisy (ĐutÍy),
Az-baiýy, ZB-13111-0100100100

Most honored lord,

Contrary to previous reports, monads[Wad90] are tremendously useful in the development of interactive software. Therefore, to explain in what way this is true, a review of monads is in order, starting with the notion of a *functor*.

A Haskell functor is a type function F with the signature

```
type F  $\alpha$   
mapF :: ( $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ )  $\rightarrow$  F  $\alpha \rightarrow$  F  $\beta$   
mapF id = id  
mapF (f  $\circ$  g) = mapF f  $\circ$  mapF g
```

A Haskell monad is a type function M with the signature

```
type M  $\alpha$   
mapM :: ( $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ )  $\rightarrow$  M  $\alpha \rightarrow$  M  $\beta$   
unitM ::  $\alpha \rightarrow$  M  $\alpha$   
joinM :: M (M  $\alpha$ )  $\rightarrow$  M  $\alpha$   
mapM id = id  
mapM (f  $\circ$  g) = mapM f  $\circ$  mapM g  
unitM  $\circ$  f = mapM f  $\circ$  unitM  
joinM  $\circ$  mapM (mapM f) = mapM f  $\circ$  joinM  
joinM  $\circ$  unitM = id  
joinM  $\circ$  mapM unitM = id
```

$$join^M \circ map^M join^M = join^M \circ join^M$$

As noted by previous reports, programming with this signature requires special syntax. However, the *contCompose* operator from our previous report is actually a general monad operator, introduced in the general case by [Wad92], which can of course be used in lieu of special syntax (as it was in our previous paper). The monad generalization of *contCompose* is $bind^M$, defined for a general monad M by

$$\begin{aligned} bind^M &:: M \alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow M \beta) \rightarrow M \beta \\ bind^M a f &= join^M (map^M f a) \end{aligned}$$

From which we can prove three very useful laws:

$$unit^M x \text{ 'bind}^M \text{ ' } f = f x \text{ ' } a \text{ 'bind}^M \text{ ' } unit^M = a (a \text{ 'bind}^M \text{ ' } h) \text{ 'bind}^M \text{ ' } k = a \text{ 'bind}^M \text{ ' } \lambda x \rightarrow h x$$

Proof. We will prove the first law, and omit the (similar) proofs of the others to save space.

$$\begin{aligned} &unit^M x \text{ 'bind}^M \text{ ' } f \\ &= join^M (map^M f (unit^M x)) \\ &= join^M (unit^M (f x)) \\ &= f x \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Even more interestingly, we can define map^M and $join^M$ in terms of $bind^M$:

$$map^M f a = a \text{ 'bind}^M \text{ ' } unit^M \circ f \text{ ' } join^M a = a \text{ 'bind}^M \text{ ' } id$$

Furthermore, we can prove the 8 laws above from these three and the two definitions above:

Proof. We prove $map^M (f \circ g) = map^M f \circ map^M g$, and omit the other proofs to save space.

$$\begin{aligned} &map^M (f \circ g) a \\ &= a \text{ 'bind}^M \text{ ' } unit^M \circ f \circ g \\ &= a \text{ 'bind}^M \text{ ' } \lambda x \rightarrow unit^M (f (g x)) \\ &= a \text{ 'bind}^M \text{ ' } \lambda x \rightarrow unit^M (g x) \text{ 'bind}^M \text{ ' } unit^M \circ f \\ &= (a \text{ 'bind}^M \text{ ' } unit^M \circ g) \text{ 'bind}^M \text{ ' } unit^M \circ f \\ &= map^M f (map^M g a) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we can prove the natural transformation laws without parametricity using the $bind^M$ laws; the same proof will work in any language, regardless of what non-parametric operations it has (of which Haskell has in fact two: \perp and *strict*, which if not standard is certainly common).

1 The View

We incorporate the protocol, *ViewInput*, and *ViewOutput* data types from our previous report, except that we re-define the following constructors from *ModelResponse* (we need to interpret them *without round trips*):

```
data ModelResponse = Added Int Int    — New line numbers
                   | Deleted Int Int   — Old line numbers
                   | Changed Int Int Int — Old line numbers, new length
                   |
                   |
                   |
                   |
                   |
                   |
                   |
                   |
                   |
                   |
                   |
```

However, viewing *View* as a monad allows us to incorporate the view state into the monad, as such:

```
type View α = ([ViewInput] → ViewState
               → (α → [ViewInput] → ViewState → [ViewOutput])
               → [ViewOutput])

unitView x is s k = k x is s
(a 'bindView' f) is s k = a is s $ λ x is' s' → f x is' s' k

data ViewState = ViewState { viewHeight     :: Int,
                              viewWidth      :: Int,
                              viewLength     :: Int,
                              viewPointRow   :: Int,
                              viewPointColumn :: Int,
                              viewULRow     :: Int,
                              viewULColumn  :: Int,
                              viewText      :: Maybe [String] }

getVState :: (ViewState → α) → View α
getVState f is s k = k (f s) is s
modifyVState :: (ViewState → ViewState) → View ()
modifyVState f is s k = k () is (f s)
```

Furthermore, we adopt a better technique for filtering inputs than in the last report; in particular, we now process prompts from the model in *getView*.

```
process n1 n2 len = modifyVState $ λ s →
    { viewLength     = viewLength s - (n2 + 1 - n1) + len,
    viewPointRow   = adjust (viewPointRow s),
    viewULRow     = adjust (viewULRow s),
    viewText      = Nothing }s
```

where

```

adjust i = i
+ if i ≥ n2 then
  len - (n2 + 1 - n1)
else
  0
+ if n2 > i ∧ i ≥ n1 then
  - (max 0 (len - (i - n1)))
else
  0
getView :: (ViewInput → Bool) → View ViewInput
getView p =
  (λ is s k → case is of
    [] → []
    i : is' → k i is' s) 'bindView λ i →
  case i of
    FromModel (Added n1 n2) →
      process (n1 - 1) (n1 - 1) (n2 + 1 - n1) 'bindView λ () →
      getView p
    FromModel (Deleted n1 n2) →
      process (n1 n2 0) 'bindView λ () →
      getView p
    FromModel (Changed n1 n2 len) →
      process n1 n2 len 'bindView λ () →
      getView p
    _ | p i → unitView i
    _ → getView p 'bindView λ i' →
      (λ is s k → k () (i' : is) s) 'bindView λ () →
      unitView i
haveInputView :: View Bool
haveInputView k is s =
  k (null (filter (λ m →
    case m of
      FromModel (Added _ _) → False
      FromModel (Deleted _ _) → False
      FromModel (Changed _ _ _) → False
      _ → True
    ) is))
isFromModel, isFromControl, isFromWimpy :: ViewInput → Bool
isFromModel (FromModel _) = True
isFromModel _ = False
isFromControl (FromControl _) = True
isFromControl _ = False
isFromWimpy (FromWimpy _) = True
isFromWimpy _ = False
(∗), (∧) :: (α → Bool) → (α → Bool) → α → Bool
(f ∗ g) x = f x ∨ g x
(f ∧ g) x = f x ∧ g x
putView :: ViewOutput → View ()

```

```

putView m is s k = m : k () is s
getVText :: View String
getVText =
  getVState viewText 'bindView' λ mb →
  case mb of
    Just s → unitView s
    Nothing →
      fix $ λ loop →
        getVState viewULRow 'bindView' λ ulr →
        getVState viewULColumn 'bindView' λ ulc →
        getVState viewHeight 'bindView' λ h →
        getVState viewWidth 'bindView' λ w →
        modifyVState (λ st → st{viewText = Just ""}) 'bindView' λ () →
        putView (ToModel (Print (ulr, ulr + h - 1))) 'bindView' λ () →
        getView isFromModel 'bindView' λ (Printing s) →
        getVState viewText 'bindView' λ mb →
        case mb of
          Just "" →
            let
              s' = map (take w . drop ulc) s
            in
              setVState (λ st → st{viewText = Just s'}) 'bindView' λ () →
              unitView s'
          Nothing → loop

```

Having defined this, the view itself becomes easier to define:

```

view =
  ⟨set up window, storing height and width and returning handle⟩ ‘bindView λ win →
  putView (ToModel (PrintLineNum (LineAddress Last))) ‘bindView λ () →
  getView isFromModel ‘bindView λ (FromModel (LineNumber len)) →
  modifyVState (λ s → s{viewLength = len}) ‘bindView λ () →
  (fix $ λ loop →
    haveInputView ‘bindView λ b →
    if b then
      getView (const True) ‘bindView λ i →
      case i of
        FromWimpy r →
          ⟨process r⟩ ‘bindView λ () →
          loop
        FromControl LookupUL →
          getVState viewULRow ‘bindView λ ulr →
          getVState viewULColumn ‘bindView λ ulc →
          putView (ToControl (ULIs ulr ulc)) ‘bindView λ () →
          loop
        FromControl LookupPoint →
          getVState viewPointRow ‘bindView λ pr →
          getVState viewPointColumn ‘bindView λ pc →
          putView (ToControl (PointIs pr pc)) ‘bindView λ () →
          loop
        FromControl LookupScreenSize →
          getVState viewHeight ‘bindView λ h →
          getVState viewWidth ‘bindView λ w →
          putView (ToControl (ScreenSizeIs w h)) ‘bindView λ () →
          loop
        FromControl (SetUL ulr' ulc') →
          modifyVState (λ s → s {
            viewULRow = ulr',
            viewULColumn = ulc',
            viewText = Nothing
          }) ‘bindView λ () →
          loop
        FromControl (SetPoint pr' pc') →
          modifyVState (λ s → s {
            viewPointRow = pr',
            viewPointColumn = pc'
          }) ‘bindView λ () →
          ⟨re-display, using pr' & pc'⟩ ‘bindView λ () →
          loop
      else
        unitView ()
    ‘bindView λ () →
    ⟨shut down win⟩
  )

```

Translating the control into monadic form requires only the systematic substitutions $contCompose \rightarrow bind^{Control}$ and $(\$ x) \rightarrow unit^{Control} x$; the translation is omitted.

References

- [Wad90] P. L. Wadler, *Comprehending monads*, Proceedings of the 1990 ACM Conference on LISP and Functional Programming, Nice (New York, NY), ACM, 1990, pp. 61–78.
- [Wad92] Philip Wadler, *The essence of functional programming*, POPL '92: Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT symposium on Principles of programming languages (New York, NY, USA), ACM Press, 1992, pp. 1–14.